# **Departmental Disclosure Statement**

### Hineuru Claims Settlement Bill

The departmental disclosure statement for a government Bill seeks to bring together in one place a range of information to support and enhance the Parliamentary and public scrutiny of that Bill.

### It identifies:

- the general policy intent of the Bill and other background policy material;
- some of the key quality assurance products and processes used to develop and test the content of the Bill;
- the presence of certain significant powers or features in the Bill that might be of particular Parliamentary or public interest and warrant an explanation.

This disclosure statement was prepared by the Office of Treaty settlements and certifies that, to the best of their knowledge and understanding, the information provided is complete and accurate at the date of finalisation below.

11 May 2015

### **Contents**

Contents	. 2
Part One: General Policy Statement	. 3
Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information	. 4
Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content	. 5
Part Four: Significant Legislative Features	. 7

### **Part One: General Policy Statement**

This Bill gives effect to the Deed of Settlement, entered into by the Crown and Hineuru on 2 April 2015, for the final settlement of historical Treaty of Waitangi claims of Hineuru. Legislation is necessary to give effect to certain aspects of the settlement. Other aspects of the settlement are provided for only in the deed of settlement.

#### Part 1 of the Bill —

- sets out the purpose of the Bill and deals with other matters of general application;
- defines Hineuru, historical claims and other essential elements;
- records a summary of the Historical Account the acknowledgements and apology offered by the Crown to Hineuru;
- gives effect to the agreement between the Crown and Hineuru to a final settlement of the historical Treaty of Waitangi claims of Hineuru;
- removes the jurisdiction of judicial bodies in respect of the Hineuru historical claims and the redress provided under the deed of settlement or the Bill; and
- deals with related issues, including a consequential amendment to the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975, and the removal of certain resumptive memorials.

Part 2 of the Bill sets out the cultural redress provided to Hineuru, including—

- provisions for protocols, statutory acknowledgements, and deeds of recognition;
- provision for an overlay classification;
- assigning of official geographic names;
- provision for Hineuru to contribute on the Mohaka River advisory committee and the Rangitaiki River Forum; and
- cultural redress properties and their vesting and administration provisions.

Part 3 of the Bill makes provision for certain commercial redress to be provided for the benefit of Hineuru in relation to—

- commercial redress to be transferred to the trustees;
- provisions for Hineuru to purchase commercial properties; and
- a right of first refusal over three sites.

### **Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information**

### **Published reviews or evaluations**

or benefits likely to be impacted by:

securing compliance?

(a) the level of effective compliance or non-compliance with

(b) the nature and level of regulator effort put into encouraging or

applicable obligations or standards?

2.1. Are there any publicly available inquiry, review or evaluation reports that have informed, or are relevant to, the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	YES
Waitangi Tribunal reports:	
<ul> <li><u>Te Urewera: Pre-publication</u> (2009)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>He Maunga Rongo: Report on Central North Island Claims (200</li> </ul>	8)
The Mohaka ki Ahuriri Report 2004	
Relevant international treaties	
2.2. Does this Bill seek to give effect to New Zealand action in relation to an international treaty?	NO
Regulatory impact analysis	
2.3. Were any regulatory impact statements provided to inform the policy decisions that led to this Bill?	NO
Extent of impact analysis available	
2.4. Has further impact analysis become available for any aspects of the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	NO
2.5. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, is there analysis available on:	
(a) the size of the potential costs and benefits?	NO
(b) the potential for any group of persons to suffer a substantial unavoidable loss of income or wealth?	NO
2.6. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, are the potential costs	

NO

NO

### **Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content**

### **Consistency with New Zealand's international obligations**

3.1. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with New Zealand's international obligations?

No steps have been taken as there is no international dimension to the contents of the bill.

### Consistency with the government's Treaty of Waitangi obligations

# 3.2. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi?

During settlement negotiations, the Office of Treaty Settlements and Hineuru negotiators engaged with iwi and hapū whose interests are directly affected by the settlement. The redress given effect by this Bill is consistent with Treaty principles and Treaty of Waitangi settlement policy.

### Consistency with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990

3.3. Has advice been provided to the Attorney-General on whether any provisions of this Bill appear to limit any of the rights and freedoms affirmed in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990?	YES
--	-----

Advice provided to the Attorney-General by the Crown Law Office, or a section 7 report of the Attorney-General, is generally expected to be available on the Ministry of Justice website upon introduction of a Bill. Such advice, or reports, will be accessible on the Ministry's website at <a href="http://www.justice.govt.nz/policy/constitutional-law-and-human-rights/bull-of-rights/">http://www.justice.govt.nz/policy/constitutional-law-and-human-rights/bull-of-rights/</a>

### Offences, penalties and court jurisdictions

3.4. Does this Bill create, amend, or remove:		
(a) offences or penalties (including infringement offences or penalties and civil pecuniary penalty regimes)?	NO	
(b) the jurisdiction of a court or tribunal (including rights to judicial review or rights of appeal)?	YES	
The Bill settles historic Treaty claims and removes the jurisdiction of courts, tribunals and other judicial bodies into the claims, deed of settlement and redress provided (clauses 15, 16).		
3.4.1. Was the Ministry of Justice consulted about these provisions?	YES	
These provisions were developed by the Office of Treaty Settlements which is part of the Ministry of Justice.		

### **Privacy issues**

3.5. Does this Bill create, amend or remove any provisions relating to the collection, storage, access to, correction of, use or disclosure of personal information?	NO
personal information?	

#### **External consultation**

# 3.6. Has there been any external consultation on the policy to be given effect by this Bill, or on a draft of this Bill?

YES

Stakeholder groups (e.g. overlapping iwi, councils, affected individuals, etc) were informed of the key relevant provisions contained in the Bill as the settlement was negotiated and agreed, and were invited to comment on relevant parts of the bill affecting them.

Overlapping groups: Ngāi Tuhoe, Ngāti Tuwharetoa, Ngāti Manawa, Ngāti Whare, Mana Ahuriri Hapū, Maungaharuru-Tangitū Hapu.

Councils: Bay of Plenty Regional Council, Taupo District Council, Whakatane District Council and Hastings District Council.

### Other testing of proposals

# 3.7. Have the policy details to be given effect by this Bill been otherwise tested or assessed in any way to ensure the Bill's provisions are workable and complete?

**YES** 

The proposed provisions are tested throughout the negotiation process through consultation with key stakeholders and engagement with third parties. The Deed of Settlement was ratified by the Hineuru claimant community before the deed was signed.

## **Part Four: Significant Legislative Features**

### Compulsory acquisition of private property

compared y dequication of private property	
4.1. Does this Bill contain any provisions that could result in the compulsory acquisition of private property?	NO
Charges in the nature of a tax	
4.2. Does this Bill create or amend a power to impose a fee, levy or charge in the nature of a tax?	NO
Retrospective effect	
4.3. Does this Bill affect rights, freedoms, or impose obligations, retrospectively?	NO
Strict liability or reversal of the usual burden of proof for offe	ences
4.4. Does this Bill:	
(a) create or amend a strict or absolute liability offence?	NO
(b) reverse or modify the usual burden of proof for an offence or a civil pecuniary penalty proceeding?	NO
Civil or criminal immunity	
4.5. Does this Bill create or amend a civil or criminal immunity for any person?	NO
Significant decision-making powers	
4.6. Does this Bill create or amend a decision-making power to make a determination about a person's rights, obligations, or interests protected or recognised by law, and that could have a significant impact on those rights, obligations, or interests?	NO
Powers to make delegated legislation	
4.7. Does this Bill create or amend a power to make delegated legislation that could amend an Act, define the meaning of a term in an Act, or grant an exemption from an Act or delegated legislation?	NO
	_
4.8. Does this Bill create or amend any other powers to make delegated legislation?	NO
Any other unusual provisions or features	
4.9. Does this Bill contain any provisions (other than those noted above) that are unusual or call for special comment?	NO