

Departmental Disclosure Statement

Te Korowai o Wainuiārua Claims Settlement Bill
--

The departmental disclosure statement for a government Bill seeks to bring together in one place a range of information to support and enhance the Parliamentary and public scrutiny of that Bill.

It identifies:

- the general policy intent of the Bill and other background policy material;
- some of the key quality assurance products and processes used to develop and test the content of the Bill;
- the presence of certain significant powers or features in the Bill that might be of particular Parliamentary or public interest and warrant an explanation.

This disclosure statement was prepared by the Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti.

The Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and understanding, the information provided is complete and accurate at the date of finalisation below.

Date finalised 9 August 2023

Contents

Contents.....	2
Part One: General Policy Statement.....	3
Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information	4
Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content.....	5
Part Four: Significant Legislative Features	7

Part One: General Policy Statement

This Bill gives effect to certain matters contained in the Deed of Settlement (the Deed), signed on 29 July 2023 by the Crown and Te Korowai o Wainuiārua. The Deed provides for the final settlement of all the historical Treaty of Waitangi claims of Te Korowai o Wainuiārua resulting from acts or omissions by the Crown before 21 September 1992. This Bill contains provisions related to settlement redress that require legislation for their implementation. Other aspects of the settlement are provided for only in the Deed because they do not require legislative authority.

This Bill comprises three parts:

- Part 1 sets out the purpose of the Bill, provides the provisions of the Bill take effect on the settlement date unless a provision states otherwise, specifies the Bill binds the Crown, and defines terms used in the Bill, including Te Korowai o Wainuiārua and historical claims.
- Part 2 sets out in 13 subparts the cultural redress for Te Korowai o Wainuiārua. Protocols, statutory acknowledgement and deed of recognition, two overlay classifications, changes to official geographic names, vesting of cultural redress properties, provisions relating to membership on the Tongariro-Taupo Conservation Board and the Tongariro-Taupo Conservation Management Strategy, establishing a joint strategic advisory committee and enabling access to certain cultural materials and minerals.
- Part 3 sets out in 4 subparts the financial and commercial redress for Te Korowai o Wainuiārua and includes the transfer of commercial redress properties and deferred selection properties, redress over licensed land, access to protected sites, and rights of first refusal (RFR) over RFR land.

There are 5 schedules to the Bill:

- Schedule 1 describes the areas subject to a statutory acknowledgement, and to both a statutory acknowledgement and a deed of recognition;
- Schedule 2 describes the overlay areas to which the overlay classifications apply;
- Schedule 3 describes the cultural redress properties;
- Schedule 4 describes the Ngātokoerua area of responsibility; and
- Schedule 5 provides for notices in relation to right of first refusal land.

Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information

Published reviews or evaluations

2.1. Are there any publicly available inquiry, review or evaluation reports that have informed, or are relevant to, the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	YES
<p>Waitangi Tribunal (1995) <i>The Turangi Township Report</i> and its evidentiary casebook Waitangi Tribunal (2008) <i>He Maunga Rongo: Report on Central North Island Claims</i> and its evidentiary casebook Waitangi Tribunal (1999) <i>The Whanganui River Report</i> and its evidentiary casebook Waitangi Tribunal (2013) <i>Te Kāhui Maunga: The National Park District Inquiry Report</i> and its evidentiary casebook Waitangi Tribunal (2015) <i>He Whiritaunoka: The Whanganui Land Report</i> and its evidentiary casebook Waitangi Tribunal (2018) <i>Te Mana Whatu Ahuru: Report on Te Rohe Pōtae Claims</i> and its evidentiary casebook</p> <p><i>All Waitangi Tribunal reports are accessible at:</i> https://forms.justice.govt.nz/search/WT/reports.html.</p>	

Relevant international treaties

2.2. Does this Bill seek to give effect to New Zealand action in relation to an international treaty?	NO
--	-----------

Regulatory impact analysis

2.3. Were any regulatory impact statements provided to inform the policy decisions that led to this Bill?	NO
<p><i>The Treasury agrees no Regulatory Impact Assessment is required for this proposal, since it implements deeds of settlement for Treaty of Waitangi claims, provides for the commencement of existing legislation and is expected to have only minor impacts on businesses, individuals or not-for-profit entities.</i></p>	

Extent of impact analysis available

2.4. Has further impact analysis become available for any aspects of the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	NO
2.5. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, is there analysis available on:	
(a) the size of the potential costs and benefits?	NO
(b) the potential for any group of persons to suffer a substantial unavoidable loss of income or wealth?	NO
2.6. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, are the potential costs or benefits likely to be impacted by:	
(a) the level of effective compliance or non-compliance with applicable obligations or standards?	NO
(b) the nature and level of regulator effort put into encouraging or securing compliance?	NO

Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content

Consistency with New Zealand's international obligations

3.1. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with New Zealand's international obligations?

No steps have been undertaken.

Consistency with the government's Treaty of Waitangi obligations

3.2. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi?

During the settlement negotiations, the Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti and Uenuku Charitable Trust negotiators engaged with hapū and iwi whose interests are directly affected by the settlement. The redress given effect by this Bill is consistent with the Treaty of Waitangi and its principles and the Treaty of Waitangi settlement policy.

Consistency with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990

3.3. Has advice been provided to the Attorney-General on whether any provisions of this Bill appear to limit any of the rights and freedoms affirmed in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990?

YES

Advice provided to the Attorney-General by the Crown Law Office, or a section 7 report of the Attorney-General, is generally expected to be available on the Ministry of Justice website upon introduction of a Bill. Such advice, or reports, will be accessible on the Ministry's website at:

<http://www.justice.govt.nz/policy/constitutional-law-and-human-rights/human-rights/bill-of-rights/>

Offences, penalties and court jurisdictions

3.4. Does this Bill create, amend, or remove:

(a) offences or penalties (including infringement offences or penalties and civil pecuniary penalty regimes)?

NO

(b) the jurisdiction of a court or tribunal (including rights to judicial review or rights of appeal)?

YES

The Bill settles the historical Treaty claims of Te Korowai o Wainuiārua and removes the jurisdiction of courts, tribunals and other judicial bodies into the claims, deed and redress provided (clause 15).

3.4.1. Was the Ministry of Justice consulted about these provisions?

NO

The provisions were developed by the former Office of Treaty Settlements which was part of the Ministry of Justice.

Privacy issues

3.5. Does this Bill create, amend or remove any provisions relating to the collection, storage, access to, correction of, use or disclosure of personal information?

NO

3.5.1. Was the Privacy Commissioner consulted about these provisions?	NO
--	-----------

External consultation

3.6. Has there been any external consultation on the policy to be given effect by this Bill, or on a draft of this Bill?	YES
<p><i>Stakeholder groups (e.g. overlapping iwi, local authorities, affected individuals) were informed of the key relevant provisions contained in the deed as the settlement was negotiated and agreed. The relevant parts of the deed that are being given effect to in the Bill have been consulted with affected parties.</i></p> <p><i>Overlapping iwi representative organisations: Whanganui Land Settlement Negotiation Trust (Whanganui Land Settlement), Te Tōtarahoe o Paerangi (Ngāti Rangī), Maniapoto Māori Trust Board (Ngāti Maniapoto), Mōkai Pātea Waitangi Claims Trust (Mokai Patea), Te Kāhui Maru Trust (Ngāti Maru), Te Kotahitanga o Ngāti Tūwharetoa (Ngāti Tūwharetoa), Te Kaahui o Rauru (Ngāa Rauru) and Ngāti Haua Iwi Trust (Ngāti Hāua).</i></p> <p><i>Councils: Ruapehu District Council, Manawatu-Whanganui Regional Council (Horizons Regional Council).</i></p>	

Other testing of proposals

3.7. Have the policy details to be given effect by this Bill been otherwise tested or assessed in any way to ensure the Bill's provisions are workable and complete?	YES
<p><i>The proposed provisions are tested throughout the negotiation process by consultation with relevant agencies, key stakeholders and engagement with third parties. The deed was ratified by Te Korowai o Wainuiārua during a five week voting period from 24 April and 29 May 2023.</i></p>	

Part Four: Significant Legislative Features

Compulsory acquisition of private property

4.1. Does this Bill contain any provisions that could result in the compulsory acquisition of private property?	NO
---	----

Charges in the nature of a tax

4.2. Does this Bill create or amend a power to impose a fee, levy or charge in the nature of a tax?	NO
---	----

Retrospective effect

4.3. Does this Bill affect rights, freedoms, or impose obligations, retrospectively?	NO
--	----

Strict liability or reversal of the usual burden of proof for offences

4.4. Does this Bill:	
(a) create or amend a strict or absolute liability offence?	NO
(b) reverse or modify the usual burden of proof for an offence or a civil pecuniary penalty proceeding?	NO

Civil or criminal immunity

4.5. Does this Bill create or amend a civil or criminal immunity for any person?	NO
--	----

Significant decision-making powers

4.6. Does this Bill create or amend a decision-making power to make a determination about a person's rights, obligations, or interests protected or recognised by law, and that could have a significant impact on those rights, obligations, or interests?	NO
---	----

Powers to make delegated legislation

4.7. Does this Bill create or amend a power to make delegated legislation that could amend an Act, define the meaning of a term in an Act, or grant an exemption from an Act or delegated legislation?	NO
--	----

4.8. Does this Bill create or amend any other powers to make delegated legislation?	NO
---	----

Any other unusual provisions or features

4.9. Does this Bill contain any provisions (other than those noted above) that are unusual or call for special comment?	NO
---	----

